

VAULT REFERENCE COPY

WARM RELATIVISTIC ELECTRON FLUID II:
SMALL- m/e LIMIT

William A. Newcomb

This paper was prepared for submittal to
Physics of Fluids

March 28, 1985

Lawrence
Livermore
National
Laboratory

This is a preprint of a paper intended for publication in a journal or proceedings. Since changes may be made before publication, this preprint is made available with the understanding that it will not be cited or reproduced without the permission of the author.

DISCLAIMER

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial products, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

WARM RELATIVISTIC ELECTRON FLUID II: SMALL-m/e LIMIT*

William A. Newcomb
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, University of California
Livermore, CA 94550

and

Department of Applied Science
University of California
Davis, CA 95616

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the generalized equation of state of a warm relativistic electron fluid reduces in the small-m/e limit to the well-known double adiabatic law of collisionless magnetohydrodynamics.

An account has been given elsewhere of the theory of a so-called "warm relativistic electron fluid," based on a perturbation expansion in powers of the electron thermal velocity.¹ Here, it will be our purpose to examine the limiting form of this theory in the case where the unperturbed orbits are of vanishingly small gyroradius (it is essentially a slightly modified version of ordinary collisionless magnetohydrodynamics, as developed by Chew et al.²). We treat this case by formal expansion in powers of a second small parameter, which for convenience may be identified as m/e.

Let us use the notation (1.n) to denote Eq. (n) of Ref. 1. Equation (1.47), then, to leading order in m/e, reduces to the familiar equation of infinite conductivity,

$$\vec{E} + \vec{v} \times \vec{B} = 0 \quad , \quad (1)$$

*Work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract number W-7405-ENG-48.

or in the covariant notation,

$$F_{\mu\nu} w^\nu = 0 \quad . \quad (2)$$

An immediate consequence of this is

$$\det (F_{\mu\nu}) = c^{-2} (\vec{E} \cdot \vec{B})^2 = 0 \quad . \quad (3)$$

(All symbols are the same as in Ref. 1.) It must be emphasized that these and other relations presently to be derived therefrom are valid only to leading order in m/e .

In the local fluid rest frame, (1) reduces to $\vec{E} = 0$. Now let τ^μ denote the unit four-vector having, in this frame, the components $(\vec{B}/|\vec{B}|, 0)$. Relations manifestly satisfied by τ^μ in the rest frame (and therefore also, by relativistic invariance, in a general frame) include the following:

$$g_{\mu\nu} \tau^\mu \tau^\nu = 1 \quad , \quad (4)$$

$$\tau_\mu w^\mu = 0 \quad , \quad (5)$$

$$\tau_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0 \quad , \quad (6)$$

and

$$F_{\mu\nu} g^{\nu\lambda} F_{\lambda\rho} = \beta^2 (\tau_\mu \tau_\rho - g_{\mu\rho} - c^{-2} w_\mu w_\nu) \quad , \quad (7)$$

where

$$\beta^2 = \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} = \vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} - c^{-2} \vec{E} \cdot \vec{E} \quad . \quad (8)$$

(In the rest frame, $\beta = |\vec{B}|$.)

At one point in the calculation that follows, accuracy to two orders in m/e will be needed. It will then be useful to have the exact relation corresponding to (6), i.e.,

$$\tau_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = \alpha w^\nu, \quad (9)$$

where α is a small scalar invariant (of order m/e).

A formula will also be needed for the time rate of change of β :

$$\begin{aligned} \beta D_S \beta &= \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} D_S F^{\mu\nu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} w_\lambda \partial^\lambda F^{\mu\nu} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} w_\lambda (\partial^\mu F^{\nu\lambda} + \partial^\nu F^{\lambda\mu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} F_{\mu\nu} (F^{\nu\lambda} \partial^\mu w_\lambda + F^{\lambda\mu} \partial^\nu w_\lambda) \\ &= \beta^2 (\Sigma - \Delta), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\Sigma = \tau_\mu \tau_\nu \partial^\mu w^\lambda, \quad (11)$$

$$\Delta = \partial_\mu w^\mu = -D_S \log n. \quad (12)$$

Here, use has been made in turn of the Maxwell equation

$$\partial_\mu F_{\nu\lambda} + \partial_\nu F_{\lambda\mu} + \partial_\lambda F_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (13)$$

and of (2), (7), and (1.24). (We have also used $w_\lambda \partial_\mu w^\lambda = 0$. The four-vector w^μ is normalized to constant length.) Finally, using (12), let us rewrite (10) as

$$\Sigma = D_S \log (\beta/n) \quad . \quad (14)$$

We turn our attention now to the structure of the electron pressure tensor. Equation (1.38) to leading order reduces to

$$F_{\lambda\sigma} (g^{\lambda\mu} \theta^{\sigma\nu} + g^{\lambda\nu} \theta^{\sigma\mu}) = 0 \quad , \quad (15)$$

in which $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ also satisfies condition (1.36). In the rest frame, it reduces further [here (1.36) is used] to

$$\theta_{ij} B_{jk} = B_{ij} \theta_{jk} \quad , \quad (16)$$

$$\theta_{4i} = \theta_{i4} = \theta_{44} = 0 \quad . \quad (17)$$

A short calculation now shows that (16) can be satisfied only if θ_{ij} is expressible as follows:

$$\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ji} = p_{\perp} \delta_{ij} + (p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp}) \tau_i \tau_j \quad , \quad (18)$$

in which p_{\perp} and p_{\parallel} are scalar invariants (proper pressure components).

This is, of course, simply the standard two-component pressure tensor of collisionless magnetohydrodynamics, first introduced in Ref. 2.

The pressure tensor in a general frame may be given as

$$\theta^{\mu\nu} = p_{\perp} (g^{\mu\nu} + c^{-2} w^{\mu} w^{\nu}) + (p_{\parallel} - p_{\perp}) \tau^{\mu} \tau^{\nu} \quad . \quad (19)$$

It satisfies also (and this to all orders in m/e) the further relation

$$\tau_{\mu} F^{\mu\nu} \theta_{\nu\lambda} = 0 \quad , \quad (20)$$

in consequence of (9) and (1.36).

The time evolution of the two proper pressure components is determined, essentially, by the interplay of the small terms in (1.38). We accordingly form the double scalar products of (1.38) in turn with the tensors $g_{\mu\nu}$ and $\tau_\mu \tau_\nu$. Both these operations are such as to annihilate the large term of order e/m , leaving only

$$n D_S (n^{-1} \Theta_\mu^\mu) = - 2 \Theta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu w_\nu , \quad (21)$$

$$\tau_\mu \tau_\nu n D_S (n^{-1} \Theta^{\mu\nu}) = - 2 \tau_\mu \tau_\nu \Theta^{\nu\lambda} \partial_\lambda w^\mu . \quad (22)$$

[Vanishing of the large term depends only on the exact relations (1.12), (1.31), and (20), these being good to all orders in m/e .] If we now put in the explicit form of $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ according to (19), we easily obtain

$$D_S p_\perp = p_\perp (\Sigma - 2\Delta) , \quad (23)$$

$$D_S p_{||} = - p_{||} (2\Sigma + \Delta) , \quad (24)$$

after a short calculation. Here, use has been made of (12) to eliminate n , and also of $\tau_\mu D_S \tau^\mu = 0$. If we also make use of (14), we can write this result as

$$D_S (p_\perp / n\beta) = D_S (\beta^2 p_{||} / n^3) = 0 , \quad (25)$$

and this, of course, is simply the relativistic form of the double-adiabatic law of Ref. 2.

Finally, I should like to correct a misstatement in Ref. 1. Only the second line of Eq. (1.82) is correct as a formula for H_{ijl} . The expression appearing in the first line is not H_{ijl} itself but $H_{ijl} E_l$.

REFERENCES

1. W. A. Newcomb, Phys. Fluids 25, 846 (1982).
2. G. F. Chew, M. L. Goldberger, and F. E. Low, Proc. Roy. Soc. (London) Ser. A, 236, 112 (1956).